

Definition. A lattice is *meet semi-distributive* if it satisfies the law

$$x \wedge y = x \wedge z \to x \wedge y = x \wedge (y \lor z).$$

Definition. For a quasivariety \mathcal{K} and an algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ the *relative* congruence lattice of \mathbf{A} with respect to \mathcal{K} is the lattice-ordered set

$$\operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{A} = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{Con} \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} / \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \}$$

with the operations $\alpha \wedge^{\mathcal{K}} \beta = \alpha \cap \beta$ and $\alpha \vee^{\mathcal{K}} \beta = (\alpha \vee \beta)'$, where ' is the *extension map* from Con **A** to Con_{\mathcal{K}} **A** defined as

$$\alpha' = \bigcap \{ \gamma \in \operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{A} : \alpha \leq \gamma \}.$$

Definition. A quasivariety \mathcal{K} has the *extension property* if for all algebras $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ the extension map is a lattice homomorphism, and has the *weak extension property* if for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_{\mathbf{A}} \to \alpha' \wedge \beta' = 0_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Theorem (K. Baker, 1977). Every finitely generated congruence distributive variety is finitely axiomatizable.

Theorem (R. McKenzie, 1987). Every finitely generated residually small congruence modular variety is finitely axiomatizable.
Theorem (R. Willard, 2000). Every congruence meet semi-distributive variety with a finite residual bound is finitely axiomatizable.

Theorem (D. Pigozzi, 1988). Every finitely generated relatively congruence distributive quasivariety is finitely axiomatizable.

Conjecture (R. E. Park, 1976). Every variety with a finite residual bound is finitely axiomatizable.

Conjecture (D. Pigozzi). Every finitely generated relatively modular quasivariety is finitely axiomatizable.

Definition. A lattice is *pseudo-complemented* if for every element x there exists a largest element y such that $x \wedge y = 0$. In an algebraic lattice this is equivalent to $x \wedge y = x \wedge z = 0 \rightarrow x \wedge (y \vee z) = 0$. **Definition.** A set of Willard terms for a quasivariety \mathcal{K} is a finite sequence $\{(f_i, g_i) : i < n\}$ of pairs of ternary terms such that the equations $f_i(x, y, x) \approx g_i(x, y, x)$ (i < n) hold in \mathcal{K} and so does

$$x \neq y \to \bigvee_{i < n} \Big(f_i(x, x, y) = g_i(x, x, y) \leftrightarrow f_i(x, y, y) \neq g_i(x, y, y) \Big).$$

Theorem. For every quasivariety

 $CD \Rightarrow SD(\wedge) \Rightarrow PCC \Leftrightarrow W$ $\mathcal{K}\text{-}CD \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-}SD(\wedge) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-}PCC \Rightarrow W$ $\mathcal{K}\text{-}CD \Rightarrow EP \Rightarrow WEP$

Theorem. A locally finite quasivariety \mathcal{K} has pseudo-complemented congruences iff no algebra in \mathcal{K} has a non-trivial Abelian congruence.

Definition. An element p of a lattice is *pseudo-prime* if $x \land y \neq 0$ whenever $x, y \not\leq p$.

Theorem. An algebraic lattice **L** is pseudo-complemented iff the meet of all pseudo-prime elements is zero.

Proof. Take a compact element $c \neq 0$, and a maximal filter F containing c but not 0. If $u \notin F$ then there exists $v \in F$ such that $u \wedge v = 0$. Since \mathbf{L} is pseudo-complemented, L - F is an ideal. Put $p = \bigvee (L - F)$. Note that the compact elements below p are in L - F, in particular $c \not\leq p$, but p might be in F. Now if $x, y \not\leq p$, then $x, y \in F$ and therefore $x \wedge y \neq 0$.

Theorem. In a pseudo-complemented algebraic lattice with countable many compact elements the meet of all meet-prime elements is zero. **Theorem.** There exists a pseudo-complemented algebraic lattice with \aleph_1 many compact elements such that the meet of all meet-prime elements is non-zero. Take an algebra \mathbf{A} with a pseudo-complemented congruence lattice, and $a, b \in A, a \neq b$. Then there exists a pseudo-prime congruence $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Con} \mathbf{A}$ such that $(a, b) \notin \vartheta$. Consider the algebra \mathbf{A}/ϑ and suppose that \mathbf{A}/ϑ does not satisfy some universal sentence

$$(\forall \bar{x}) \bigwedge_{i} \left(\bigvee_{j < n_{i}} s_{ij}(\bar{x}) \approx t_{ij}(\bar{x}) \lor \bigvee_{k < m_{i}} s'_{ik}(\bar{x}) \not\approx t'_{ik}(\bar{x}) \right)$$

So there exists a tuple \bar{a} in A and i such that

 $\operatorname{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(s_{ij}(\bar{a}), t_{ij}(\bar{a})) \not\leq \vartheta$ and $\operatorname{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(s'_{ik}(\bar{a}), t'_{ik}(\bar{a})) \leq \vartheta$

for all $j < n_i$ and $k < m_i$. From this it follows that there exists a pair of elements (u, v) such that

$$(u, v) \in \operatorname{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(s_{ij}(\bar{a}), t_{ij}(\bar{a}))$$
 and $\operatorname{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(u, v) \cap \operatorname{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(s'_{ik}(\bar{a}), t'_{ik}(\bar{a})) = 0_{\mathbf{A}}$
for all $j < n_i$ and $k < m_i$.

Definition. For an algebra \mathbf{A} and integer m the *principal* congruence *m*-disjointness relation over \mathbf{A} is the 2*m*-ary relation

$$PCD_m(\bar{a}; \bar{b}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i < m} Cg_{\mathbf{A}}(a_i, b_i) = 0_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Theorem. Let \mathcal{W} be a quasivariety with pseudo-complemented congruence lattices and ϕ be a universal sentence. There exists a congruence condition $PCD(\phi)$ using the PCD_m relation such that

$$\{\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{W} : \mathbf{A} \models PCD(\phi)\} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap SP(\mathrm{Mod}(\phi)).$$
 (*)

Theorem. If ϕ is a positive universal sentence then in (\star) the two classes are equal.

Theorem. If there is a quasivariety \mathcal{E} with the weak extension property such that $W \cap SP(Mod(\phi)) \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq SP(Mod(\phi))$ then in (\star) the two classes are equal. **Definition.** For a class \mathcal{K} of algebras and an integer n we define \mathcal{K}_n to be the class of algebras having at most n elements.

Theorem. Let \mathcal{W} be a quasivariety with Willard terms, and n, m be integers. Then PCD_m is first-order definable in $\mathcal{W} \cap SP(H(\mathcal{W})_n)$ by a formula pcd_m . Moreover, in all algebras of this signature

 $(\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y})(PCD_m(x; y) \to pcd_m(x; y)).$

A version of the previous theorem was discovered independently by K. Baker, G. McNulty and Ju. Wang for congruence meet semi-distributive varieties.

Theorem. There exists a first-order sentence $\gamma(pcd_m)$ such that for any algebra **A** with a pseudo-complemented congruence lattice,

$$\mathbf{A} \models \gamma(pcd_m) \quad iff \quad \mathbf{A} \models (\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y})(PCD_m(x; y) \leftrightarrow pcd_m(x; y)).$$

Theorem. Let \mathcal{W} be a quasivariety with pseudo-complemented congruence lattices, n be a positive integer, and ϕ be a positive universal sentence. Then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{W} \cap SP(H(\mathcal{W})_n) \cap SP(Mod(\phi))$ is finitely axiomatizable relative to \mathcal{W} .

Corollary. Every quasivariety having pseudo-complemented congruence lattices and contained in a finitely generated quasivariety, is contained in a finitely axiomatizable, locally finite quasivariety. **Corollary.** Let \mathcal{K} be a finite set of finite algebras such that $SP(\mathcal{K})$ has pseudo-complemented congruence lattices. If $HS(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq SP(\mathcal{K})$ then $SP(\mathcal{K})$ is finitely axiomatizable. **Theorem.** Let W be a quasivariety with pseudo-complemented congruence lattices, n be a positive integer, and ϕ be a universal sentence. Then $\mathcal{L} = W \cap SP(H(W)_n) \cap SP(\operatorname{Mod}(\phi))$ is finitely axiomatizable relative to W, provided there exists some quasivariety \mathcal{E} with the weak extension property such that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq SP(\operatorname{Mod}(\phi))$. **Corollary.** Every finitely generated quasivariety with pseudocomplemented congruence lattices and the weak extension property is finitely axiomatizable.